



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/706,186

11/12/2003

Benoit Glazer

34728

6129

7590 01/27/2009
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
POST OFFICE BOX 231
ORLANDO, FL 32801-0230

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2837

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

01/27/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/706,186 | Applicant(s) GLAZER, BENOIT | |
| | Examiner KIMBERLY R. LOCKETT | Art Unit 2837 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KIMBERLY R. LOCKETT. (3) ____.

(2) John Gibbs. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1/15/08.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant called to notify the office that he had not received the original notice of allowance and requested that the office restart the time period. The examiner stated that the time period will be restarted based on an office error. The original notice of allowance was sent the the wrong PO Box. The examiner spoke to supervisor Jones to verify that the time period would be restarted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---|--|
| /Kim R. Lockett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2837 | |
|---|--|